## For the Northern District of California

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	TOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALL OR OR	
9		
11	and all others similarly situated, on behalf of the general public, and as an "aggrieved employee" under the California Labor	
Code Private Attorneys General Act, REQUEST FO	employee under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act,  REQUEST FOR RESPONSE R DISMISSAL	ŁΕ
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	
15	CLEAR POINT ADVANTAGE, LLC,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	The Court has read the proposed stipulation and order of dismissal. Before approvir	าฮ
19	the Court requests submissions under oath by counsel for both sides that there is no	·6
20	contemplation or expectation that this case will be re-filed in any form in any other forum of	r
21	before any other judge, and that this dismissal will be the end of the litigation as far as both	
22	plaintiff and defendant are concerned.	
23		
24		
25		

## Case 3:08-cv-01640-WHA Document 24 Filed 12/03/08 Page 2 of 2

Counsel should state under oath that no money is being paid to plaintiff counsel directly
or indirectly, and that there is no contemplation of class-wide settlement of any type to be
pursued elsewhere. Responses should be submitted by <b>DECEMBER 5, 2008, AT 12:00 P.M</b> .

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 3, 2008

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE